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#### Abstract

Significant evidence suggests that deregulation of the PI3K/Akt pathway is important in tumor progression. Mechanisms include loss of function of the tumor suppressor PTEN and high frequency of mutation of the PI3K p110 $\alpha$ isoform in human malignancies. This connection between PI3K and tumor genesis makes PI3K a promising target for cancer treatment. A series of 4-morpholinopyrrolopyrimidine derivatives were synthesized and evaluated as inhibitors of PI3K $\alpha$ and mTOR , leading to the discovery of PI3K $\alpha$ selective inhibitors (e.g., 9) and dual PI3K $\alpha / \mathrm{mTOR}$ kinase inhibitors (e.g., 46 and 48). PI3K $\alpha / \mathrm{mTOR}$ dual inhibitors demonstrated inhibition of tumor cell growth in vitro and in vivo and caused suppression of the pathway specific biomarkers [e.g., the phosphorylation of Akt at Thr308 (T308) and Ser473 (S473)] in the human breast cancer cell line MDA361. In addition, compound 46 demonstrated good in vivo efficacy in the MDA361 human breast tumor xenograft model.


## Introduction

Phosphatidylinositol-3-kinases (PI3Ks ${ }^{a}$ ) are lipid kinases that catalyze phosphorylation of the 3-hydroxy position of PIP2 (phosphatidylinositol 4,5-diphosphate) to PIP3 (phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-triphosphate), an important second messenger modulating activity of the PI3K downstream effectors Akt and mTOR . The consequences of biological activation of Akt include tumor progression, proliferation, survival, growth, invasion, angiogenesis, and metastasis. Significant evidence suggests that the PI3K/Akt pathway is deregulated in many human cancers. ${ }^{1}$ PI3Ks are divided into three classes (I, II, and III) based on differences in sequence homology, substrate preference, and function. ${ }^{2,3}$ The class I PI3Ks are heterodimers consisting of a catalytic subunit and a regulatory subunit. The catalytic subunits of class I PI3Ks include four isoforms: $\mathrm{p} 110 \alpha$, $\mathrm{p} 110 \beta, \mathrm{p} 110 \delta$, and $\mathrm{p} 110 \gamma$, encoded by four distinct genes termed $p i k 3 c a$, pik3cb, pik3cd, and pik3cg, respectively. The class I PI3Ks are further divided into class IA and IB subgroups based on different regulatory subunits and activation mechanisms. Class IA includes three isoforms $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha, \mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \beta$, and $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \delta$ activated by receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) and small G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), while class IB has only

[^0]one isoform, $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \gamma$, primarily activated by GPCRs. The pik3ca gene, which encodes the catalytic subunit of PI3K $\alpha$, is mutated and overexpressed in a wide range of human cancers, including breast, ovarian, colorectal, and brain tumors. ${ }^{4-7}$ In addition, the activation of the PI3K pathway is negatively regulated by dual phosphatase PTEN, and persistent activation of PI3K and loss of PTEN function often coexist in various cancers. All these factors provide strong evidence for the importance of the PI3K pathway in cancer. ${ }^{8-11}$ Therefore, the significant connection between PI3K, in particular PI3K $\alpha$, with tumor genesis makes PI3K $\alpha$ an attractive target for development of anticancer drugs.

To date, several small molecule PI3K inhibitors (Figure 1) have been reported. ${ }^{12-23}$ LY294002 (1a) ${ }^{14}$ and Wortmannin (1b) ${ }^{13}$ have been extensively studied as PI3K inhibitors; however, their toxicity and poor physicochemical properties limited their potential therapeutic use.

Recently an imidazo[4,5-c]quinoline derivative, NVPBEZ235 (1c), was reported by Novartis as a pan-PI3K/mTOR dual inhibitor. ${ }^{22,23}$ Compound 1c was shown to block the activation of the PI3K pathway and potently inhibit cell proliferation, causing G1 phase cell cycle arrest, and is currently in phase I/II clinical trials as an anticancer agent. Compound PI-103 (1d), a pyrido[ $\left.3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ furo[3,2-d] pyrimidine derivative, was equipotent against $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ and $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \beta$ with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 4 nM and was selective over other tested protein kinases. ${ }^{18}$ Genentech recently reported the thieno[3,2-d]pyrimidine derivative GDC-0941 (1e), a potent and orally bioavailable inhibitor of PI3K, in phase I clinical trials for the treatment of cancer. Notably, compound 1e was equipotent against wild type PI3K $\alpha$ and two common PI3K $\alpha$ mutants (E545K and H1047R) with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $3 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{21}$ As part of our ongoing research on a variety of fused-pyrimidine scaffolds, ${ }^{24-27}$ we now report the synthesis and biological evaluation of novel
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Figure 1. Structures of PI3K inhibitors.

Scheme $1^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) morpholine (1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (3 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temp, 6 h ; (b) $\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\left(1.5\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph} h_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, DME, $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$, microwave; (c) DMF•DMA (excess), DMF, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12-18 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, MeOH , room temp, $2-6 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) formaldehyde (2 equiv), amines ( 3 equiv), $\mathrm{HOAc}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 6 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) $\mathrm{TBSCl}\left(1.2\right.$ equiv), imidazole ( 1.5 equiv), DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 15 \mathrm{~min}$, microwave; (g) $\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{I}$ (1.2 equiv), NaH (2 equiv), THF, room temp, 2 h ; (h) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temp, 6 h ; (i) 4-piperidone ( 2.5 equiv), KOH ( 5 equiv), $\mathrm{MeOH}, 66^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 15 \mathrm{~h}$; (j) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 50 \mathrm{psi}$, room temp, 16 h ; (k) ArCHO ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ( 1.5 equiv), MeOH , room temp, 5 h .

4-morpholinopyrrolopyrimidine derivatives as potent $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ inhibitors.

## Chemistry

The general synthetic route for the preparation of 4-mor-pholinopyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine derivatives is shown in Scheme 1. The starting material 2,4-dichloro-6-methyl-5-nitropyrimidine (2) was treated with morpholine to give the corresponding 4-morpholino substituted intermediate 3 , which was reacted with different boronic acids or esters under Suzuki
conditions to provide the corresponding 2-aryl substituted products. Reaction with 1,1-dimethoxy- $N, N$-dimethylmethanamine (DMF•DMA) to form the corresponding enamine intermediate followed by the reductive cyclization under catalytic hydrogenation conditions gave the desired 5 H -pyrrolo-[3,2-d] pyrimidines 4-7. Mannich-type reaction of 4, by heating with formaldehyde and different amines in acetic acid solution, proceeded smoothly to yield compounds $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ with watersolubilizing groups introduced at the C 7 position. Protection of the hydroxyl group with TBS in $\mathbf{4}$ followed by alkylation at the

Scheme $\mathbf{2}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$, microwave; (b) morpholine ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (3 equiv), EtOH , room temp; (c) $\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{DME}, 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 40 \mathrm{~min}$, microwave; (d) 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl chloride ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3$ equiv), DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) 4-aminophenylboronic acid, pinacol ester ( 1.3 equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, DME, $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$, microwave; (f) triphosgene ( 0.6 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (3 equiv), amines ( $3-5$ equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temp, $2-6 \mathrm{~h}$.

Scheme $3^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-aminophenylboronic acid, pinacol ester ( 1.3 equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, DME, $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ 30 min , microwave; (b) triphosgene ( 0.6 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (3 equiv), 4-aminopyridine (5 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temp, 12 h ; (c) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}$ (2 equiv for 30) or $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHBr}$ (2 equiv for 31 ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), DMF, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) HCl , dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ (e) amines (6 equiv), $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ (2 equiv), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (2 equiv), MeOH , room temp, 12 h ; (f) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{THF}$, room temp, 2 h .

N5 position and removal of the TBS group afforded $\mathbf{1 0}$, which underwent the Mannich-type reaction to give 11. Aldol-type reaction of $\mathbf{6}$ with piperidin-4-one followed by catalytic hydrogenation of the resulting double bond provided derivative $\mathbf{1 2}$. Reductive amination of 12, using appropriate aldehydes and $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}$, gave compounds $\mathbf{1 3}$ and 14.

The general synthetic routes used for the preparation of 4-morpholinopyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine derivatives are outlined in Schemes 2-4. 7H-Pyrrolo[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidine-2,4-diol (15) was prepared, following a known procedure, ${ }^{28,29}$ by condensing 6 -aminouracil with chloroacetaldehyde. Chlorination of $\mathbf{1 5}$ by heating with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ followed by selective
substitution with the morpholino group at the C 4 position provided intermediate $\mathbf{1 6}$. Suzuki coupling of $\mathbf{1 6}$ with different boronic acids gave compounds 17 and 18. Alternatively, alkylation of 16 with 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl chloride, using $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base, gave intermediate 19. Reaction of 19 with different boronic acids provided 20 and 21. Suzuki reaction of 19 with 4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)aniline provided 22, which was converted to urea derivatives $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 8}$ by reaction with triphosgene followed by appropriate amines.

Conversion of intermediate $\mathbf{1 6}$ to the 4-pyridylurea analogue 29 was achieved by a two-step sequence, described above for the conversion of $\mathbf{1 9}$ to 23-28. Alkylation of $\mathbf{1 6}$ provided analogues $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 1}$, which were converted to the corresponding 4-pyridylurea derivatives 32 and 33 . Hydrolysis of the acetal group in 33, under acidic conditions, gave the aldehyde 34. Reductive amination of $\mathbf{3 4}$, using different amines, provided compounds 35-39. Alternatively, reduction of the aldehyde group in 34, using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, gave the corresponding alcohol analogue 40 (Scheme 3).

Suzuki reaction of the N7 substituted pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine intermediates $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{4 1}$ gave the corresponding anilines 42 and 43 . Treatment of 42 and 43 with methyl 4-isocyanatobenzoate, followed by hydrolysis of the resulting esters under basic conditions, gave the corresponding 4 -ureidobenzoic acids 44 and 45 . Finally, coupling of the acids 44 and 45 with different amines afforded the desired 4-ureidobenzamide derivatives 46-52 (Scheme 4).

## Results and Discussion

All final compounds $4-\mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 6}-\mathbf{2 0}, \mathbf{2 3}-\mathbf{2 9}, \mathbf{3 2 - 4 0}$, and 46-52 were tested for in vitro potency in a $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ fluorescence polarization format assay ${ }^{25}$ and mTOR in a dissociationenhanced lanthanide fluorescent immunoassay (DELFIA) platform enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). ${ }^{26}$ Compounds that showed reasonable $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ potency were selected for further evaluation in the cell growth inhibition assays against PC3 (prostate, PTEN mutant) and MDA-361 (breast, Her2+/ PI3KCA [E545K] mutant) human tumor cell lines. ${ }^{25}$

Scheme $4^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-aminophenylboronic acid, pinacol ester (1.3 equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{DME}, 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$, microwave; (b) methyl 4-isocyanatobenzoate ( 1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temp, 12 h ; (c) 1 N NaOH ( 3 equiv), MeOH/THF, $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 12 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) amines (2 equiv), HOBt ( 1.5 equiv), EDCI ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2 equiv), THF, room temp, 12 h .

Table $1^{a}$


|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| compd | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ | mTOR | PC 3 |
| $\mathbf{1 f}$ |  |  |  | 63 | 634 | 1234 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | H |  | 65 | 1625 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H |  | 1506 | 5000 |
| ND |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | -OH | H | H | 70 | 355 | 1551 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | H | H | 7500 | 430 | ND |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 20 | 108 | $>3160$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ pyrrolinyl | 21 | 3600 | $>3160$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | Me | H | 1996 | $>4000$ | ND |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | Me | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-pyrrolinyl | 4207 | $>4000$ | ND |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | -OH | H | 4-piperidinyl | 354 | 5900 | ND |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | -OH | H | 1-benzyl-4-piperidinyl | 340 | 2000 | ND |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | -OH | H | 1-(4-F-benzyl)-4- | 178 | 3650 | 1652 |
|  |  |  | piperidinyl |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ The values are averages of at least two separate determinations with a typical variation of less than $\pm 30 \%$. ND: not determined.

The lead compound $\mathbf{1 f}$, an imidazolopyrimidine derivative, was previously prepared as a potent PI3K inhibitor. ${ }^{24}$ It exhibited good PI3K $\alpha$ activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=63 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and moderate cell potency against PC3 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.2 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$, but this compound had low solubility, poor metabolic stability, and poor exposure. Replacement of the imidazole ring in $\mathbf{1 f}$ with a pyrrole ring led to a series of 4-morpholinopyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidines (Scheme 1). The effects on PI3K $\alpha$ inhibitory activity of different substituents on the phenyl ring at C 3 of the pyrrolo-[3,2-d]pyrimidine core are shown in Table 1. The 3-hydroxymethyl and 3-hydroxy analogues, 4 and 6, showed equal potency against PI3K $\alpha$, comparable to that of $\mathbf{1 f}$. Removal of the hydroxyl group in $\mathbf{4}$ led to a significant decrease in potency for 5. Replacement of the $3-\mathrm{OH}$ group in $\mathbf{6}$ with a $3-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$
group resulted in about 100 -fold loss in potency for 7. The hydroxyl group in both 4 and 6 was found to be essential for PI3K $\alpha$ activity, indicating that it should be involved in protein binding as demonstrated in other fused pyrimidine series. ${ }^{21,24,25}$ Introduction of water solubilizing groups $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NR}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ at the C 7 position of $\mathbf{4}$ resulted in about a 3-fold increase in $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ potency for $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$. Compound $\mathbf{9}$ showed very good selectivity (171-fold) for PI3K $\alpha$ over mTOR. However, incorporating a piperidine ring at the C 7 position of 6 led to a 5 -fold decrease in PI3K $\alpha$ potency for $\mathbf{1 2}$. Methyl substitution at the N5 position of the pyrrolo $[3,2-d]$ pyrimidine core was not tolerated and resulted in a significant loss in activity. The $N$-methyl substituted analogue $\mathbf{1 0}$ was about 30 -fold less potent than the corresponding NH analogue $\mathbf{4}$, while $\mathbf{1 1}$ was 200 -fold less potent than the corresponding compound 9 .

In the meantime, a series of 4-morpholinopyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidines were prepared by replacing the N5 of the imidazole ring in $\mathbf{1 f}$ with "CH". The effects of different substituents on the phenyl ring and the N7 position on enzyme and cell potency are shown in Table 2.

As seen in Table 2, the 3-hydroxymethyl analogue 17 and the 3-hydroxyl analogue $\mathbf{1 8}$ maintained PI3K $\alpha$ inhibitory activity comparable to that of $\mathbf{1 f}$. Introducing an amino side chain at N7 of $\mathbf{1 7}$ led to about a 2-fold increase in $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ potency for $\mathbf{2 0}$, while appending the same group on $\mathbf{1 8}$ led to a slight decrease in PI3K $\alpha$ potency for 21. Both analogues 20 and 21 exhibited much weaker potency against mTOR. In comparison with $\mathbf{1 f}$, all four analogues in this series showed comparable or improved cell potency against PC3. It was found that this series of analogues showed good permeability, as well as good solubility except for $\mathbf{1 8}$.

Recent studies showed that the phenolic group was found to be a metabolic liability due to glucuronidation. ${ }^{21,26}$ Isosteres for the phenolic group were explored to achieve a metabolically stable clinical candidate. ${ }^{21}$ In addition, our own research teams have shown that incorporation of a urea appendage instead of the phenolic group in the fused pyrimidine core not only improved metabolic stability but also increased PI3K $\alpha$ potency and cell potency. ${ }^{24,25}$ Therefore, a series of 4-ureido

Table $2^{a}$


| compd | R | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  | solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), pH 7.4 | permeability ( $10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PI3K $\alpha$ | mTOR | PC3 |  |  |
| 1f |  |  | 63 | 634 | 1234 | 1 | 1.4 |
| 17 | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | H | 80 | 205 | 1211 | 38 | 2.3 |
| 18 | -OH | H | 43 | 64 | 580 | 2 | 0.9 |
| 20 | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 42 | > 800 | 691 | $>100$ | 1.9 |
| 21 | - OH | - $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 76 | $>800$ | 1181 | $>100$ | 2.0 |

${ }^{a}$ The values are averages of at least two separate determinations with a typical variation of less than $\pm 30 \%$.

Table $3^{a}$


| compd | R/Ar | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  | solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), pH 7.4 | stability $T_{1 / 2}(\mathrm{~min}),{ }^{b}$ rat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PI3K $\alpha$ | mTOR | PC3 |  |  |
| 1 f |  | 63 | 634 | 1234 | 1 | 2 |
| 23 | 2-pyridyl | 142 | 7 | 882 | 21 | 5 |
| 24 | 3-pyridyl | 16 | 3 | 137 | 52 | 9 |
| 25 | 4-pyridyl | 8 | 2 | 100 | 52 | 2 |
| 26 | 4-F-phenyl | 24 | 8 | 189 | ND | ND |
| 27 | Et | 226 | 30 | ND | $>100$ | 8 |
| 28 | Me | 54 | 33 | 437 | $>100$ | 9 |

${ }^{a}$ The values are averages of at least two separate determinations with a typical variation of less than $\pm 30 \%$. ND: not determined. ${ }^{b}$ Half-life of drug when incubating with rat liver microsomes.
analogues were prepared by replacing the 3-hydroxyl group on the phenyl ring, and their biological data are shown in Table 3.

Among the various pyridyl substituted ureas, the 4-pyridylurea analogue 25 showed the best $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ potency with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 8 nM , which is 8 -fold more potent than the lead compound 1f. In the meantime, mTOR potency was also dramatically increased $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ for $\mathbf{2 5}$ versus 634 nM for $\left.\mathbf{1 f}\right)$. More importantly, this compound exhibited a significant improvement in cellular potency against PC3, which is 12 -fold more potent than $\mathbf{1 f}$ in the cell assay. For PI3K $\alpha$ enzyme potency, the 3 -pyridylurea analogue 24 was 2 -fold less potent than the 4-pyridylurea analogue $\mathbf{2 5}$, while the 2-pyridylurea analogue 23 was 18 -fold less potent than $\mathbf{2 5}$. The same trend was observed in their PC3 cell potency, with the order 4-pyridyl > 3-pyridyl > 2-pyridyl. The F-substitued phenylurea analogue $\mathbf{2 6}$ was 3 -fold more potent against $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ than $\mathbf{1 f}$; however, it was 3 -fold less potent than the 4-pyridylurea analogue 25. In comparison with the arylurea analogues, the alkylurea analogues $\mathbf{2 7}$ and $\mathbf{2 8}$ were much less potent against PI3K $\alpha$. As for their properties, these urea analogues showed better water solubility, yet no improvements were observed in microsome stability in rat when compared to $\mathbf{1 f}$.

Since the 4-pyridylurea analogue $\mathbf{2 5}$ showed the best in vitro profile in the series, we explored the effects of different substitutions at the N7 position for further optimization. The effects of the substitutions at N7 on PI3K $\alpha$ activity and cellular activity are shown in Table 4. Compound 29, with no substitution at N7, was less potent against PI3K $\alpha$ than $\mathbf{2 5}$ (bearing a dimethylaminoethyl group). Introducing cyclic amino substituted ethyl goups at N7 resulted in a decrease in PI3K $\alpha$ potency ( $\mathbf{3 5}, \mathbf{3 6}$, and $\mathbf{3 8}$ ), as well as cellular potency when compared to $\mathbf{2 5}$. The same result was observed for analogue 37, bearing an ethylenediaminoethyl group at the N7 position. Compound 39, bearing a 2-piperazinylethyl group at N7, exhibited PI3K $\alpha$ potency comparable to that of $\mathbf{2 5}$ but showed 23 -fold less in cell potency against PC3. Replacement of the dimethylamino group in $\mathbf{2 5}$ with a hydroxy retained PI3K $\alpha$ potency as well as PC3 cell potency for $\mathbf{4 0}$. The acetal analogue 33 was slightly less potent in $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ but more potent in cell for PC3 compared to 25 . In contrast to 33, the aldehyde analogue 34 was more potent in enzyme for PI3K $\alpha$; however, it was 6 -fold less potent in cell for PC3 compared to 25. Compound 32, with a triflouroethyl group at N7, showed a comparable potency against PI3K $\alpha$ and a better PC 3 potency relative to $\mathbf{2 5}$. In terms of pharmaceutical

Table $4^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ The values are averages of at least two separate determinations with a typical variation of less than $\pm 30 \%$. ND: not determined. ${ }^{b}$ Half-life of drug when incubating with rat liver microsomes.
properties, analogues 32 and 40 demonstrated improved microsomal stability; however, both compounds showed poor solubility at pH 7.4 . Molecular modeling of analogue $\mathbf{4 0}$, as shown in Figure 2, displays the crucial hydrogen bonding interactions, including the morpholino oxygen binding to the hinge region Val851 and the urea moiety binding to Asp810 and Lys802. It is observed that the pyridyl nitrogen is pointing away from the binding pocket toward the solvent front. Hence, a series of 4-ureidobenzamide derivatives with extended basic amino groups were prepared for further optimization.

The assay results of 4 -ureidobenzamide derivatives are shown in Table 5. In general, the 4 -ureidobenzamide analogues possessed excellent enzyme potency against PI3K $\alpha$ and mTOR, as well as high cell potency against PC3 and MDA361. Compound 46 was 11 -fold more potent against PI3K $\alpha$ and 9 -fold more potent in cell against PC3 compared to 32 . Analogues $\mathbf{4 7 - 4 9}$ bearing different amide groups also showed excellent PI3K $\alpha$ potency with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ranging from 0.9 to 6 nM , as well as good cell potency against PC3 with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ranging from 17 to 45 nM . Replacement of 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl group with ethyl at N7, resulted in compounds $\mathbf{5 0}-\mathbf{5 2}$, which also showed excellent PI3K $\alpha$ potency and good cell potency against PC3 and MDA361. Most


Figure 2. Modeling study of 40 (shown in turquoise for its skeleton) docked in PI3K $\alpha$ homology model based on PI3K $\gamma$ crystal structures. ${ }^{30}$
analogues in this series showed improved stability in rat and human liver microsomes, and compounds 46 and 48 showed good stability in nude mouse liver microsomes with $T_{1 / 2}>30$ min . The solubility of these compounds were poor at physiological pH ; however, it can be improved at pH 3.0 because of the presence of the basic amino groups in their molecules, as seen in examples for compounds 46-48.

Analogues 46 and 48 were then assayed in vivo for their ability to suppress appropriate biomarkers. Inhibition of PI3K $\alpha$ should result in suppression of the phosphorylation of Akt, particularly at T308. As can be seen in Figure 3, analogues 46 and 48 suppressed phosphorylation of Akt T308 in MDA361 breast tumor cells for up to 8 h when administered 25 mpk , iv, in nude mouse. The actin (control protein) signal was unaffected by 46 and 48 . Both compounds also inhibited phosphorylation of Akt S473 and S6K, substrates of mTOR, indicating that they are PI3K/mTOR dual inhibitors. Pharmacokinetic analysis showed that the blood concentrations of compounds 46 and 48 at 8 h after a single 25 mpk iv dose (vehicle: $5 \%$ dextrose/lactic acid, pH 3.5 ) were 1731 and $1683 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.

In vivo efficacy study of compound 46 was conducted in nude mice bearing MDA361 human breast tumors (Figure 4). Compound 46 was dosed at 50,25 , and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, iv, once daily for 5 days weekly (two rounds). Significant tumor regression was observed in higher dose of 46 for 50 mpk and no tumor regrowth until day 32 . Tumor growth inhibition was also seen in the lower doses at 25 and 10 mpk .

## Conclusion

A series of 4-morpholinopyrrolopyrimidine derivatives have been designed, synthesized, and evaluated as PI3K inhibitors. Compound 9 was found to be a selective and potent PI3K $\alpha$ inhibitor with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 21 nM and selectivity over mTOR. Replacement of the 3-hydroxymethyl group with a 4 -arylurea not only improved enzyme potency against PI3K $\alpha$ and mTOR but also significantly increased cell potency against PC3 (prostate) and MDA361 (breast) cancer

Table $5^{a}$


| compd | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  |  | $T_{1 / 2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ (Rat) | $T_{1 / 2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ (Human) | sol., ${ }^{\text {c }}$ pH 7.4 | sol., ${ }^{\text {c }}$ pH 3.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PI3K $\alpha$ | mTOR | MDA361 | PC3 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 10 | 1 | 53 | 82 | > 30 | ND | 1 | ND |
| 46 | 0.9 | 0.6 | $<3.0$ | 13.0 | 29 | > 30 | 0 | > 100 |
| 47 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 10.3 | 23.0 | > 30 | > 30 | 0 | 68 |
| 48 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 6.7 | 17.0 | 27 | > 30 | 0 | > 100 |
| 49 | 6.0 | 1.7 | 11 | 45 | > 30 | ND | 1 | ND |
| 50 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 5.5 | 26.5 | $>30$ | > 30 | 1 | ND |
| 51 | 2.7 | 1.4 | 4.5 | 17.0 | 15 | > 30 | 0 | ND |
| 52 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 27.0 | > 30 | > 30 | 1 | ND |

${ }^{a}$ The values are averages of at least two separate determinations with a typical variation of less than $\pm 30 \%$. ND: not determined. ${ }^{b}$ Half-life of drug when incubating with liver microsomes of the species shown. ${ }^{c}$ Solubility in $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$.


Figure 3. In vivo biomarker studies 8 h after compounds were administered at 25 mpk (iv) to MDA361 tumor bearing nude mice.


Figure 4. Antitumor efficacy of 46 in the MDA361 xenograft model.
cell lines. By use of molecular modeling, 4-ureidobenzamide derivatives were designed and introduced, most of which
exhibited excellent cell potency with single digit nanomolar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value in the tumor cell (MDA361) growth inhibition assays. Among them, compounds 46 and 48 were found to have good blood levels at 8 h after iv administration. In vivo biomarker studies showed that both compounds 46 and 48 suppressed the formation of pAkt (T308), pAkt (S473), and pS6K up to 8 h when administered at 25 mpk , iv, in the MDA361 xenograft model. On the basis of the above results, compound $\mathbf{4 6}$ was selected for in vivo efficacy studies in which it demonstrated in vivo antitumor efficacy in the MDA361 xenograft model. Evaluating the antitumor efficacy of 46 in other tumor models is in progress.

## Experimental Section

General. All solvents and reagents were used as received. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker DRX400 spectrometer; Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) using tetramethylsilane as the internal standard with coupling constants $(J)$ reported in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$. The peak shapes are denoted as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; br, broad. Mass spectra (MS) and high-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured with an Agilent TOF 2 spectrometer. The purity of final compounds was determined by analytical HPLC using a Prodigy ODS3 column ( $150 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Conditions were as follows: $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ eluent at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow (containing $0.05 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$, gradient $5 \% \mathrm{ACN}$ to $95 \%$ ACN, monitored by UV absorption at 215 nm . All final compounds were found to have $\geq 95 \%$ purity unless otherwise specified. Reversed-phase HPLC (preparative HPLC) purifications were performed on a Gilson preparative HPLC system controlled by Unipoint software using a Phenomenex Gemini column ( $100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on TLC silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ aluminum sheets. The terms "concentrated" and "evaporated" refer to removal of solvents using a rotary evaporator at water aspirator pressure with a bath temperature equal to or less than $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

4-(2-Chloro-6-methyl-5-nitropyrimidin-4-yl)morpholine (3). To a stirred solution of 2,4-dichloro-6-methyl-5-nitropyrimidine ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 24.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution
of morpholine ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 24.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), followed by the addition of triethylamine ( $6.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 48.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic solution was washed with water and brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography to give the title compound as a yellow solid $(6.17 \mathrm{~g}$, $99 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57$ (t, 4H, $J=4.58 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.76 (t, 4H, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). MS (ESI): $m / z 259$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

3-(4-Morpholin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d] pyrimidin-2-yl)phenol (6). Step 1. To a stirred solution of 4-(2-chloro-6-methyl-5-nitropyrimidin-4-yl)morpholine (3) ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 8 mL of 1,2-dimethoxymethane (DME) were added 3-benzyloxyphenylboronic acid ( $533 \mathrm{mg}, 2.34 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)_{4}(90 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ aqueous solution ( 6 mL ). The resulting mixture was heated at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in a microwave oven. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the resultant solid was filtered off and washed with THF. The resulting filtrate was diluted with EtOAc, washed with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography to give 4 -\{2-[3-(benzyl-oxy)phenyl]-6-methyl-5-nitropyrimidin-4-yl\}morpholine as a yellow solid ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): $m / z 407[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

Step 2. A mixture of 4-\{2-[3-(benzyloxy)phenyl]-6-methyl-5-nitropyrimidin-4-yl\}morpholine ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 20 mL of $N, N$-dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal (DMF-DMA) was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was diluted with EtOAc and filtered through a short silica gel column. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was triturated with diethyl ether. The resulting red solid was collected by filtration to give $(E)-2-\{2-[3$-(benzyloxy)phenyl]-6-morpholin-4-yl-5-nitropyrimidin-4-yl $\}$ - $N, N$-dimethylethenamine ( $641 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): $m / z 462[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

Step 3. To a solution of $(E)-2-\{2$-[3-(benzyloxy)phenyl]-6-morpholin-4-yl-5-nitropyrimidin-4-yl $\}$ - $N$, $N$-dimethylethenamine ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 50 mL of methanol was added 40 mg of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ as catalyst. The resulting mixture was shaken under hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, 50 \mathrm{psi}\right)$ at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtration was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography $($ EtOAc/hexanes $=80: 20)$ to give 2-[3-(benzyloxy)phenyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-5 H -pyrrolo[3,2d]pyrimidine as an off-white solid ( $249 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): $m / z 387.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

Step 4. To a solution of 2-[3-(benzyloxy)phenyl]-4-morpho-lin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2- $d$ ]pyrimidine ( $249 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 mL of methanol were added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(40 \mathrm{mg})$ and acetic acid ( 1 mL ). The resulting mixture was shaken under hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, 50 \mathrm{psi}\right)$ at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography $(\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $=80: 20)$ to give $\mathbf{6}$ as an offwhite solid ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 3.82-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.84-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI})$ : $m / z 297[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ 297.1346, obsd 297.1348. HPLC purity $98.9 \%$.
[3-(4-Morpholin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2- $d$ ]pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]methanol (4). Compound 4 was prepared from 3 to give an offwhite solid ( $41 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 6 (steps $1-3$ ), using 3-(hydroxymethyl)phenylboronic acid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.23(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.54(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $m / z 311[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ 311.1502 , obsd 311.1499 . HPLC purity $97.6 \%$.

2-(3-Methylphenyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d $]$ pyrimidine (5). Compound 5 was isolated as an off-white solid (1.4\% yield), as a byproduct in the preparation of $4 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.3,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): $m / z 295[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] 295.1553, obsd 295.1552. HPLC purity $95 \%$.

3-(4-Morpholin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d] pyrimidin-2-yl)aniline (7). Compound 7 was prepared from 3 to give a yellow solid ( $53 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 6 using 3-nitrophenylboronic acid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $3.85(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.12(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 12.50(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H). MS (ESI): $m / z 296[M+H]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 296.1506$, obsd 296.1506 . HPLC purity $95 \%$.
\{3-[4-Morpholin-4-yl-7-(pyrrolidin-1-ylmethyl)-5H-pyrrolo-[3,2-d $\mathbf{~}$ pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl\}methanol (9). To a stirred solution of [3-(4-morpholin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]methanol (4) ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic acid $(80 \%$ in water, 1 mL ) was added formaldehyde ( $37 \%$ in water, 19 mg , 0.24 mmol ), followed by addition of pyrrolidine ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h and cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the residue was subjected to HPLC separation to give the title compound 9 as an off-white solid ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): m / z 394$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 394.2238$, obsd 394.2237. HPLC purity $95 \%$.

3-\{7-[(Dimethylamino)methyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo-[3,2-d] pyrimidin-2-yl\}phenyl)methanol (8). Compound 8 was prepared from 4 to give an off-white solid ( $64 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 9 , using dimethylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $11.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): m / z 368[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 368.2081$, obsd 368.2078. HPLC purity $95 \%$.
[3-(5-Methyl-4-morpholin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d $]$ pyrimidin-2yl)phenyl]methanol (10). Step 1. To a solution of [3-(4-morpho-lin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2- $d$ ]pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]methanol (4) $(1.469 \mathrm{~g}, 4.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 5 mL ) were added imidazole $(0.483 \mathrm{~g}, 7.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride $(0.857 \mathrm{~g}, 5.69 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was heated at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min in microwave oven and cooled to room temperature. The mixture was poured onto 20 mL of water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography $(\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $=1: 1)$ to give $2-[3$-(\{[tert-butyl-(dimethyl)silyl]oxy\}methyl)phenyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-5 H -pyrro-$\mathrm{lo}[3,2-d]$ pyrimidine as a white solid $(1.949 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): $m / z 425[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

Step 2. To a solution of 2-[3-(\{[tert-butyl(dimethyl)silyl]-oxy\}methyl)phenyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2- $d$ ]pyrimidine ( $424 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil, $80 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature. After the mixture was stirred for 10 min , iodomethane ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture, and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction was quenched by addition of 2 mL of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution, followed by addition of 10 mL of water. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc, and combined organic phases were washed with water and brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in 10 mL of
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. To this solution was added dropwise trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, 2 mL ) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and concentrated. The residue was treated with 1 N NaOH aqueous solution ( 10 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic extracts were washed with water and brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography to give 10 as an off-white solid ( $252 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.40(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(\mathrm{t}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.26(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.41$ (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ Hz ), $8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): $m / z 325[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 325.1659$, obsd 325.1663 . HPLC purity 98.4\%.
\{3-[5-Methyl-4-morpholin-4-yl-7-(pyrrolidin-1-ylmethyl)-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl\}methanol (11). Compound 11 was prepared from 10 to give an off-white solid ( $26 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 9 , using pyrrolidine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.40(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.23(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.4(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.3(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.4 (s, 1H). MS (ESI): $m / z 408$ [M + H]. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 408.2394$, obsd 408.2390. HPLC purity $97.0 \%$.

3-(4-Morpholin-4-yl-7-piperidin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d $]$ pyri-midin-2-yl)phenol (12). Step 1. To a solution of 2-[3-(benzyl-oxy)phenyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-5 H -pyrrolo[3,2- $d$ ]pyrimidine (see preparation of 6) ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added KOH ( $362 \mathrm{mg}, 6.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) and 4-piperidine monohydrate hydrochloride ( $495 \mathrm{mg}, 3.23 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.5 equiv). The resulting solution was heated at $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated. The residue was subjected to HPLC separation to give 2-[3-(benzyloxy)-phenyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-7-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)-5 H -pyrrolo[3,2- $d$ ]pyrimidine as a yellow solid ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): $m / z 468$ [M + H].

Step 2. To a solution of 2-[3-(benzyloxy)phenyl]-4-morpho-lin-4-yl-7-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-4-yl)-5 H -pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidine ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 20 mL ) was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ and concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(30 \%, 0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was shaken under hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, 50 \mathrm{psi}\right)$ at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite. The filtration was concentrated, and the residue was purified by HPLC to give $\mathbf{1 2}$ as an off-white solid ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 1.79$ (qd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.6,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.01(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.33(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.84$ $(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 12.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ESI): $m / z$ $380[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 380.2081$, obsd 380.2088 . HPLC purity $95 \%$.

3-\{7-[1-(4-Fluorobenzyl)piperidin-4-yl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d pyrimidin-2-yl $\}$ phenol (14). To a solution of 3-(4-morpholin-4-yl-7-piperidin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]pyrimidin-2yl)phenol (12) ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.058 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 1 mL ) was added 4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.177 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by addition of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.174 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}(11 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.174 mmol ). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was subjected to HPLC separation to give $\mathbf{1 4}$ as an off-white solid (TFA salt, $17.2 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.93(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.07$ (br, 2H), $3.22(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.81 $(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.35 (t, 2H, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.54 (br, 1 H ), 7.63 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.68 (br, 2H), 9.98 (br, 1H). MS (ESI): $m / z$
$488[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 488.2456$, obsd 488.2455 . HPLC purity $96.1 \%$.

3-[7-(1-benzylpiperidin-4-yl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-5H-pyrrolo[3,2-d]-pyrimidin-2-yl]phenol (13). Compound 13 was prepared from 12 to give an off-white solid (TFA salt, $57 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 14 , using benzaldehyde. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.93(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.09(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 11.7 Hz ), $3.81(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95$ (br, 1H), 7.33 (t, 1H, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.60-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 9.97 (br, 1H). MS (ESI): m/z $470[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 470.2551$, obsd 470.2548. HPLC purity 97.7\%.
[3-(4-Morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]methanol (17). Step 1. 7H-Pyrrolo $2,3-d$ dpyrimidine-2,4-diol (15). To a suspended solution of 6 -aminouracil $(12.7 \mathrm{~g}, 100$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium acetate $(8.2 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at a temperature of $70-75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of chloroacetaldehyde ( $50 \%$ in water, $23.6 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min and then cooled to room temperature. The resulting solid was collected by filtration, washed with water and acetone, and dried in vacuo to give $\mathbf{1 5}$ as brown solid ( $14.74 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.08(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.43(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}$, negative): $m / z 150[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]$.

Step 2. 2,4-Dichloro-7 $\boldsymbol{H}$-pyrrolo[2,3- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ]pyrimidine (15a). To a 20 mL vial were added 7 H -pyrrolo[2,3- $d$ ] pyrimidine-2,4-diol (15) (2.5 g, 16.6 mmol$), \mathrm{POCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL}, 107 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $N, N$-dimethylaniline ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting mixture was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in a microwave oven. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured onto ice (about $200 \mathrm{~g})$. The resulting solid was filtered and washed with water to give dichloro 15 a as a brown solid ( $1.323 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 6.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 12.78 (br, 1H). MS (ESI): $m / z 188$ [M + H].

Step 3. 2-Chloro-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidine (16). To a solution of 2,4 -dichloro- 7 H -pyrrolo $[2,3-d]$ pyrimidine ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 7.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added morpholine ( $0.96 \mathrm{~mL}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The resulting solid was filtered and washed with EtOH and water to give 16 as a yellow solid ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 3.72(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.84(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.67(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 11.91(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): $m / z 239[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

Step 4. [3-(4-Morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2yl)phenyl]methanol (17). To a 10 mL vial were added 2 -chloro-4-morpholin-4-yl-7 H-pyrrolo[2,3-d ]pyrimidine (16) ( 150 mg , 0.63 mmol ), 3-hydroxymethylphenylboronic acid ( 144 mg , $0.94 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), 1,2$-dimethoxyethane (DME, 2.5 mL ), and $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ aqueous solution ( 1.5 mL ). The resulting mixture was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in a microwave oven. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with EtOAc. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was subjected to HPLC separation to give $\mathbf{1 7}$ as an offwhite solid ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $3.78(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.24(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=3.5,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): m / z$ $311[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ 311.1502, obsd 311.1501 . HPLC purity $95 \%$.

3-(4-Morpholin-4-yl-7 H-pyrrolo[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl)phenol (18). Following the same procedure as for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 7}$, Suzuki coupling of 2-chloro-4-morpholin-4-yl-7 H -pyrrolo[2,3d]pyrimidine ( $\mathbf{1 6}$ ) ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with 3-hydroxyphenylboronic acid ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave 18 as a yellow solid
( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 3.78$ (t, 4H, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.93(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.77$ (s, 1H). MS (ESI): m/z 297 [M + H]. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ 297.1346, obsd 297.1347. HPLC purity $95.3 \%$.

2-Chloro-4-morpholin-4-yl-7-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]-7H-pyrrolo $[2,3-d]$ pyrimidine (19). To a solution of 2-chloro-4-morpho-lin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d ]pyrimidine (16) ( $154 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) were added 2-(dimethylamino)ethyl chloride hydrochloride ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(635 \mathrm{mg}, 1.95$ mmol ). The resulting mixture was heated at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen overnight and cooled to room temperature. Water was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined extracts were washed with water and brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give 19 as a yellow syrup ( $169 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ yield), which was used in next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $2.68(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). MS (ESI): $m / z 310[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.
(3-\{7-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo-[2,3-d] pyrimidin-2-yl $\}$ phenyl)methanol (20). Following the same procedure as for the preparation of 17, Suzuki coupling of 2-chloro-4-morpholin-4-yl-7-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]-7H-pyr-rolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine (19) ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-hydroxymethylphenylboronic acid ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $\mathbf{2 0}$ as an offwhite solid ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11(\mathrm{t}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.66-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): $m / z 382[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] 382.22386 , obsd 382.2235 . HPLC purity $97.5 \%$.

3-\{7-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-7 H-pyrrolo-[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl $\}$ phenol (21). Following the same procedure as for the preparation of 17, Suzuki coupling of 2-chloro-4-morpholin-4-yl-7-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine ( $\mathbf{1 9}$ ) ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-hydroxyphenylboronic acid ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $\mathbf{2 1}$ as an off-white solid ( 81.9 mg , $78 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.90(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.06(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.79(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.0(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.68-7.62 (m, 2H). MS (ESI): $m / z 368[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 368.2081$, obsd 368.2082. HPLC purity 98.8\%.

4-\{7-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-7 H-pyrrolo-[2,3-d] pyrimidin-2-yl\} aniline (22). Following the same procedure as for the preparation of 17, Suzuki coupling of 2-chloro-4-morpholin-4-yl-7-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine (19) ( $261 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-aminophenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $277 \mathrm{mg}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave $\mathbf{2 2}$ as a yellow oil ( 278 $\mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ $(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.0(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.37(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} /$ z 367 [M + H].

1-(4-\{7-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyr-rolo[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl $\}$ phenyl)-3-pyridin-2-ylurea (23). To a solution of 4-\{7-[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl$7 H$-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-2-yl\}aniline (22) (22 mg, 0.06 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(25 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphosgene ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min , and 2-aminopyridine ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was subjected to HPLC separation to give 23 as an off-white solid ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): $m / z 487$ [M + H]. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ 487.2564, obsd 487.2561. HPLC purity $99.0 \%$.

1-(4-\{7-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo $[2,3-d]$ pyrimidin-2-yl $\}$ phenyl)-3-pyridin-3-ylurea (24). Compound $\mathbf{2 4}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{2 2}$ to give an off-white solid ( $45 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 23, using 3-aminopyridine. MS (ESI): $m / z 487$ [M + H]. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 487.2564$, obsd 487.2564. HPLC purity $96.0 \%$.

1-(4-\{7-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyr-rolo[2,3- $d$ ] pyrimidin-2-yl $\}$ phenyl)-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (25). Compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{2 2}$ to give an off-white solid ( $62 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 23, using 4-aminopyridine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.34$ (s, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.00(\mathrm{t}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.32(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$. MS (ESI): $m / z 487[M+H]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{3} 0 \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] 487.2564 , obsd 487.2564 . HPLC purity $95 \%$.

1-(4-\{7-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyr-rolo[2,3-d pyrimidin-2-yl $\}$ phenyl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)urea (26). Compound $\mathbf{2 6}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{2 2}$ to give an off-white solid ( $33 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for $\mathbf{2 3}$, using 4-fluoroaniline. MS (ESI): $m / z 504$ [M + H]. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{FN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 504.2518$, obsd 504.2515. HPLC purity $95 \%$.

1-(4-\{7-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyr-rolo[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl $\}$ phenyl)-3-ethylurea (27). Compound 27 was prepared from 22 to give a yellow solid ( $41 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for $\mathbf{2 3}$, using ethylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.06(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88$ (s, 6H), $3.12(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.61(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.62(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.27(\mathrm{br}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.65(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): $m / z 438[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] 438.2612, obsd 438.2609. HPLC purity $97.2 \%$.

1-(4-\{7-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo $[2,3-d]$ pyrimidin-2-yl $\}$ phenyl)-3-methylurea (28). Compound 28 was prepared from 22 to give a yellow solid ( $34 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for $\mathbf{2 3}$, using methylamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.61$ (br, 2H), $3.77(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.61(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.12(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.60(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): $m / z 424$ [M + H]. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 424.2456$, obsd 424.2453. HPLC purity $98.2 \%$.

1-[4-(4-Morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-2-yl)phe-nyl]-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (29). To a 10 mL vial were charged 4-isocyantophenylboronic acid, pinacol ester ( 368 mg , 1.5 $\mathrm{mmol}), 4$-aminopyridine ( $188 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.28 \mathrm{~mL}$, $2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DME ( 3 mL ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h , and to the mixture were then added 2-chloro-4-morpholin-4-yl-7 H -pyrrolo[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidine (16) ( $238 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ aqueous solution ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(58 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. The resulting mixture was heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in microwave oven. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with EtOAc. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was subjected to HPLC separation to give 29 as a yellow solid (66 $\mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.78$ ( $\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.63 (d, 2H, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.96 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $11.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): m / z 416[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ 416.1829, obsd 416.1830. HPLC purity $95 \%$.

2-Chloro-4-morpholin-4-yl-7-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-7H-pyrrolo $[\mathbf{2 , 3}$ - $\boldsymbol{d}]$ pyrimidine (30). To a solution of 2-chloro-4-morpho-lin-4-yl-7 $H$-pyrrolo[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidine ( $\mathbf{1 6}$ ) ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) were added 1,1,1-trifluoro-2-iodoethane ( 0.28 mL , $2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(559 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen overnight and cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined extracts were washed with water and brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give $\mathbf{3 0}$ as a light-yellow solid ( $199 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ yield), which was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $3.72(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.05(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $m / z 321[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

4-[7-(2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]-pyrimidin-2-yl]aniline (42). To a 10 mL vial were added 2 -chloro-4-morpholin-4-yl-7-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-7 H -pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine (30) ( $294 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-aminophenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $302 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(53 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME, 3 mL ), and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ aqueous solution ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting mixture was heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in a microwave oven. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with EtOAc. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography to give $\mathbf{4 2}$ as a brown oil ( $286 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.77(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.91(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.12(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0$ Hz ), $8.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). MS (ESI): $m / z 378[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

1-\{4-[4-Morpholin-4-yl-7-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-7 H-pyrrolo-[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl\}-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (32). To a solution of 4-[7-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-7 H -pyrrolo-[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidin-2-yl]aniline (42) $(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphosgene ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min before a solution of 4 -aminopyridine ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 1 mL ) was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was subjected to HPLC separation to give 32 as an off-white solid ( $24.5 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 300$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 3.78(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.97(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.18(\mathrm{q}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.61$ (d, 2H, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): $m / z 498[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] 498.1860, obsd 498.1860. HPLC purity $97.6 \%$.

2-Chloro-7-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo $[2,3-d]$ pyrimidine (31). To a solution of 2-chloro-4-morpho-lin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d ]pyrimidine (16) ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 10 mL ) were added 2-bromo-1,1-dimethoxyethane $(0.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.067 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen overnight and cooled to room temperature. Water was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined extracts were washed with water and brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated to give 31 as a light-yellow solid ( $665 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ yield), which was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.84(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.67$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.7(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8$ Hz). MS (ESI): m/z 327 [M + H].

1-\{4-[7-(2,2-Dimethoxyethyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo-[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl $\}$-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (33). Step 1. To a 20 mL vial were added 2 -chloro-7-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-4-mor-pholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine (31) ( $665 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-aminophenylboronic acid pinacol ester ( $670 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Pd$\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(118 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME, 6 mL ),
and sodium carbonate aqueous solution $(2 \mathrm{M}, 4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in a microwave oven. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with EtOAc. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc, and the combined organic solution was concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography to give 4-[7-(2,2-dimethoxy-ethyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-2-yl]aniline as a brown oil ( $760 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): $m / z 384[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

Step 2. To a solution of 4-[7-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-4-morpho-lin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d] pyrimidin-2-yl]aniline ( $766 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphosgene ( $594 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min before a solution of 4 -aminopyridine ( $564 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added. The mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was subjected to HPLC separation to give 33 as a yellow solid ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 3.31$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.78(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): m/z 504 [M + H]. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 504.2354$, obsd 504.2358. HPLC purity $95 \%$.

1-\{4-[4-Morpholin-4-yl-7-(2-oxoethyl)-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyri-midin-2-yl]phenyl $\}$-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (34). A mixture of 1-\{4-[7-(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-7 H -pyrrolo-[2,3-d]pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl\}-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (33) (300 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, dioxane ( 3 mL ), and $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and cooled to room temperature. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was triturated with EtOAc. The resulting solid was collected by filtration and washed with EtOAc to give 34 as an off-white solid ( $479 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ $3.79(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.96(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.61(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.62(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H). MS (ESI): $m / z 458[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HPLC purity $95 \%$.

1-\{4-[4-Morpholin-4-yl-7-(2-pyrrolidin-1-ylethyl)-7 H-pyrrolo $[2,3-d$ ]pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl\}-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (35). To a solution of 1-\{4-[4-morpholin-4-yl-7-(2-oxoethyl)-7 H -pyrrolo-[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl\}-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (34) (24 mg, $0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added pyrrolidine ( 22 mg , $0.3 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , and 0.5 mL of NaOH ( 1 M in water) was added. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was subjected to HPLC separation to give 35 as an off-white solid ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): $m / z 513[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] 513.2721, obsd 513.2718 . HPLC purity $95 \%$.

1-\{4-[4-Morpholin-4-yl-7-(2-piperidin-1-ylethyl)-7 H-pyrrolo-[2,3- $d]$ pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl $\}$-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (36). Compound 36 was prepared from 34 to give an off-white solid ( $27 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 35, using piperidine. MS (ESI): $m / z 527[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 527.2880$, obsd 527.2877. HPLC purity $95.7 \%$.

1-\{4-[7-(2-\{[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]amino\}ethyl)-4-morpho-lin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3- $d$ ] pyrimidin-2-yl] phenyl \}-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (37). Compound 37 was prepared from 34 to give an offwhite solid ( $37 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 35 , using $N, N$-dimethylethylenediamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.42(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $m / z 530[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ 530.2986 , obsd 530.2976 . HPLC purity $95 \%$.

1-(4-\{7-[2-(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)ethyl]-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl $\}$ phenyl)-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (38). Compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{3 4}$ to give an off-white solid ( $42 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 35 , using 1-methylpiperazine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.74$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.30(\mathrm{br}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.95(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.46$ (s, 1H), $11.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): $m / z 542[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 542.2986$, obsd 542.2981 . HPLC purity 96.5\%.

1-\{4-[4-Morpholin-4-yl-7-(2-piperazin-1-ylethyl)-7 H-pyrrolo-[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl $\}$-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (39). Compound 39 was prepared from 34 to give an off-white solid ( $39 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 35, using piperazine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.90$ (br, 4H), 2.99 (br, 2H), 3.07 (br, 4H), 3.78 (t, 4H, $J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.95 $(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.43(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 10.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): $m / z 528[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 528.2830$, obsd 528.2828. HPLC purity $95.5 \%$.

1-\{4-[7-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]-pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl\}-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (40). To a stirred mixture of 1-\{4-[4-morpholin-4-yl-7-(2-oxoethyl)-7 H -pyrrolo[2,3d] pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl\}-3-pyridin-4-ylurea (34) ( $215 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and THF ( 4 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ $(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min , and 2 mL of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in water) was added. The mixture was concentrated, and the residue was subjected to HPLC separation to give $\mathbf{4 0}$ as an off-white solid ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 3.78$ (t, $6 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.94(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.29(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.96(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): $m / z 460[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] 460.2092, obsd 460.2092. HPLC purity $98.1 \%$.

4-(\{[4-(7-(2,2,2-Trifluoroethyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo-[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]carbamoyl\}amino)benzoic Acid (44). Step 1. To a solution of 4-[7-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-4-mor-pholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-2-yl]aniline (42) (479 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added methyl 4-isocyanatobenzoate ( $269 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The resulting solid was collected by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give methyl 4-[(\{4-[4-morpholin-4-yl-7-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-7 H-pyrrolo-[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl\}carbamoyl)amino]benzoate as an off-white solid ( $539 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): $m / z 555[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

Step 2. To a solution of methyl 4-[(\{4-[4-morpholin-4-yl-7-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-7 H -pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl\}carbamoyl)amino]benzoate ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH ( 30 mL ) and THF ( 10 mL ) was added 1 N NaOH aqueous solution ( 2.7 mL ), and the mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated. The residue was treated water and acidified to pH $4-5$ by addition of 1 NHCl , and the resulting solid was collected by filtration, washed with water, and dried in air to give 44 as an off-white solid ( $486 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): m/z 541 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.
$N$-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]- $N$-methyl-4-[(\{4-[4-morpholin-4-yl-7-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl $\}$ carbamoyl)amino]benzamide (46). To a solution of 4-(\{[4-(7-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-4-morpholin-4-yl-7 H-pyrrolo[2,3$d$ ]pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]carbamoyl\}amino)benzoic acid (44) $(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $N, N, N^{\prime}-$ trimethylethylenediamine ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(12 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$, HOBt ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and EDCI ( 23 mg ,
0.12 mmol ). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and concentrated. The residue was subjected to HPLC separation to give 46 as an off-white solid (TFA salt, 38.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.83(\mathrm{br}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(\mathrm{br}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $5.19(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.03(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $m / z 625[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] 625.2857 , obsd 625.2857 . HPLC purity $96.7 \%$.
$N$-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-[(\{4-[4-morpholin-4-yl-7-(2,2, 2-trifluoroethyl)-7 H -pyrrolo[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl\}carbamoyl)amino]benzamide (47). Compound 47 was prepared from 44 to give an off-white solid (TFA salt, $99 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 46, using $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylethylenediamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.83$ (s, 3H), 2.84 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.96(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.18(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.81$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6$, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.67(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.94(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $m / z 611[M+H]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] 611.2700 , obsd 611.2700 . HPLC purity $96.8 \%$.

1-\{4-[(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)carbonyl]phenyl\}-3-\{4-[4-mor-pholin-4-yl-7-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-7H-pyrrolo [2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin2 -yl]phenyl $\}$ urea (48). Compound 48 was prepared from 44 to give an off-white solid (TFA salt, $97 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for $\mathbf{4 6}$, using 1-methylpiperazine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 / 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 / 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.96(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.18(\mathrm{q}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $m / z 623[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ 623.2700 , obsd 623.2700 . HPLC purity $95 \%$.

1-(4-\{[4-(Dimethylamino)piperidin-1-yl]carbonyl\} phenyl)-3-\{4-[4-morpholin-4-yl-7-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-7 H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]-pyrimidin-2-yl]phenyl $\}$ urea (49). Compound 49 was prepared from 44 to give an off-white solid ( HCl salt, $68 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 46, using 4-dimethylaminopiperidine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.61$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.96(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.18(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $m / z 651[M+H]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+$ H] 651.3013 , obsd 651.3013 . HPLC purity $95 \%$.

4-(2-Chloro-7-ethyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-4-yl)morpholine (41). Compound 41 was prepared from 16 to give an offwhite solid, according to the procedure described for 30, using iodoethane. MS (ESI): $m / z 267$ [M + H].

4-(7-Ethyl-4-morpholino-7 H-pyrrolo [2,3- $d$ ] pyrimidin-2-yl)aniline (43). Compound 43 was prepared from 41 to give an offwhite solid, according to the procedure described for 42, using 4-aminophenylboronic acid pinacol ester. MS (ESI): m/z 324 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$

4-(\{[4-(7-Ethyl-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]carbamoyl\}amino)benzoic Acid (45). Step 1. To a solution of 4-(7-ethyl-4-morpholin-4-yl-7 H -pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyri-midin-2-yl)aniline (43) ( $1.72 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added methyl 4-isocyanatobenzoate ( $1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The resulting solid was collected by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give methyl 4-(\{[4-(7-ethyl-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3$d]$ pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]carbamoyl\}amino)benzoate as an offwhite solid ( $1.81 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): $m / z 501[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$.

Step 2. To a solution of methyl 4-(\{[4-(7-ethyl-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3- $d$ ]pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]carbamoyl\}amino)benzoate ( $1.81 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH ( 50 mL ) and THF ( 20 mL )
was added 1 N NaOH aqueous solution ( 18 mL ), and the mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated. The residue was treated with water and acidified to $\mathrm{pH} 3-4$ by addition of 1 N HCl . The resulting solid was collected by filtration, washed with water, and dried in air to give $\mathbf{4 5}$ as an off-white solid ( $1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ yield). MS (ESI): $m / z 487$ [M + H].
$N$-[2-(Dimethylamino)ethyl]-4-(\{[4-(7-ethyl-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo [2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]carbamoyl $\}$ amino)benzamide (50). Compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{4 5}$ to give an offwhite solid ( $54 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 46, using $N, N$-dimethylethylenediamine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.40(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.23(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 8.6 Hz ), 8.98 (br, 2H). MS (ESI): $m / z 557$ [M + H]. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 557.2983$, obsd 557.2983. HPLC purity $98.6 \%$.

1-[4-(7-Ethyl-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]-3-\{4-[(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)carbonyl]phenyl\}urea (51). Compound 51 was prepared from 45 to give an off-white solid ( $35 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 46, using 1-methylpiperazine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $1.40(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.77(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 8.34 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.93 (s, 1H), 8.94 (s, 1H). MS (ESI): $m / z$ 569 [M + H]. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 569.2983$, obsd 569.2983. HPLC purity $99.6 \%$.

1-[4-(7-Ethyl-4-morpholin-4-yl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d $]$ pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl]-3-[4-(piperazin-1-ylcarbonyl)phenyl]urea (52). Compound 52 was prepared from 45 to give an off-white solid ( $46 \%$ yield), according to the procedure described for 46, using piperazine. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.40(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1$ Hz ), 2.68 (br, 4H), $3.41(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.56 (d, 2H, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.01$ (s, 1H). MS (ESI): $m / z 555[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$. HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] 555.2827$, obsd 555.2830 . HPLC purity $95.2 \%$.
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